

11. EPA alleges that, as a result of the activities referenced in paragraphs 7 through 9, above, Respondent violated Section 6(e) of TSCA and the PCB regulations.

12. EPA alleges that in or about 1994, Respondent diluted PCB Remediation Waste (as defined at 40 C.F.R. §761.3) in violation of 40 CFR §761.1(b)(5). Respondent alleges that its dilution of PCB Remediation Waste was inadvertant.

13. EPA alleges that in or about 1994, Respondent improperly disposed of the PCB Remediation Waste referenced in paragraphs 7 through 9, above, and further alleges that Respondent has to date not cleaned up and disposed of the PCB Remediation Waste in accordance with the requirements found at 40 C.F.R. §761.61.

**C. TERMS OF SETTLEMENT**

14. Section 16(a) of TSCA, together with the Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Rule (40 C.F.R. Part 19), authorize the assessment of a civil administrative penalty of up to \$32,500 per day for each violation. Based on the violations alleged in paragraphs 11 and 13, above, and taking into account the penalty assessment criteria of Section 16(a) of TSCA, EPA has proposed to assess a civil penalty of \$27,500.

15. The provisions of this CAFO shall apply to and be binding on EPA and on Respondent, its officers, directors, successors and assigns.

16. Respondent stipulates that EPA has jurisdiction over the subject matter alleged in this CAFO. Respondent waives any defenses it might have as to jurisdiction and venue, and, without admitting or denying the factual allegations contained in this CAFO, consents to its terms.

17. Respondent hereby waives its right to contest any issue of law or fact set forth in the Consent Agreement and its right to appeal the Final Order accompanying this Consent Agreement.

PCB-IMPACTED WASTE REMOVAL IN UTILITY CORRIDORS  
AND AT PILE CAP AND GRADE BEAM LOCATIONS

18. Respondent shall conduct sampling and shall perform a removal of the PCB contamination located in the proposed utility corridors and in the vicinity of the proposed building pile caps and grade beams at the Site, in accordance with the Work Plan dated April 16, 2004 (the "Work Plan"). The Work Plan is included as Attachment 1 to this CAFO and is hereby incorporated by reference.

19. Respondent has initiated a series of public meetings and associated activities to address public concerns related to the upcoming construction activities at the Site. At a minimum, such public hearings have included or will include:

October 30, 2000: public meeting held by Respondent to inform the public that the Site was the intended location for the new Keith Middle School, and that the school's overall design and construction would include addressing environmental concerns at the Site.

April 7, 2004: public meeting at Keith Junior High School Auditorium regarding construction activities to be performed under the first environmental contract, including removal off-site of PCB-contaminated materials. During this meeting, Respondent discussed in detail the

process for disposing of PCB-contaminated material at a location outside of New Bedford. That discussion also addressed the public's concerns about the routes that will be taken by the disposal trucks, and associated dirt and dust control issues.

April 28, 2004: community meeting at Keith Junior High School Auditorium to present overall building program for new Keith Middle School at the Site.

May 5, 2004: first Public Involvement Plan ("PIP") meeting at Keith Junior High School Auditorium to present the PIP and solicit input from the public.

Subsequent public meetings will be scheduled by Respondent, based upon the results of the PIP meetings.

20. On or before September 30, 2004, Respondent shall complete all PCB activities described in the Work Plan.

21. Within 30 days of the completion of PCB activities described in the Work Plan, Respondent shall submit a certification to EPA Region 1, at the address provided below, signed by a city official authorized to do so on behalf of the City of New Bedford, that the activities in the proposed utility corridors and the locations of the proposed pile caps and grade beams were completed in accordance with the provisions of this CAFO and the Work Plan. The certification shall include a short summary of the activities, copies of PCB waste shipment manifests, bills of lading, the total quantity of PCB-contaminated waste disposed of (in pounds) based on concentrations of less than 50ppm ( $< 50\text{ppm}$ ) and greater than or equal to 50ppm ( $\geq 50\text{ppm}$ ), and any certificates of disposal received as of the date of certification. All submissions required by this Order shall be sent to:

Kimberly Tisa, PCB Coordinator  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
One Congress Street, Suite 1100 (CPT)  
Boston, MA 02114-2023  
(617) 918- 1527  
FAX (617) 918- 0527

CLEANUP OF REMAINDER OF THE SITE

22. EPA and Respondent agree that the requirements for cleanup of any PCB contamination at the Site in areas other than the utility corridors and the locations of the proposed pile caps and grade beams (referenced in paragraphs 18 through 20 above) are not being addressed in this CAFO. EPA expressly reserves all its rights to ensure in the future that Respondent undertakes all appropriate cleanup measures to address PCB contamination at the Site, in areas other than the utility corridors and the locations of the proposed pile caps and grade beams, in accordance with 40 CFR 761.61. Moreover, nothing in this CAFO shall be construed to limit in any way EPA's or the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection's authority to address at the Site pollutants or contaminants of any kind other than PCBs.

23. Pursuant to Section 16 of TSCA, and taking into account the facts recounted in this CAFO and such other circumstances as justice may require, EPA has determined that it is fair and proper to assess a civil penalty for the violations alleged in this CAFO in the amount of twenty-seven thousand, five hundred dollars (\$27,500).

24. Respondent shall pay the penalty of twenty-seven thousand, five hundred dollars (\$27,500) within thirty (30) days of the date this Consent Agreement and Final Order is signed by



the EPA Regional Judicial Officer.

25. Respondent shall pay the penalty by submitting a certified or cashier's check to the order of the "Treasurer, United States of America" and in the required amount to:

EPA - Region 1  
P.O. Box 360197M  
Pittsburgh, PA 15251

Respondent shall note the case name and docket number of this action on the check, and shall provide copies of the check to:

Regional Hearing Clerk  
U.S. Environmental Protection  
Agency, Region 1  
Suite 1100, Mail Code RCH  
One Congress Street  
Boston, MA 02114-2023

and

Gregory Dain  
Senior Enforcement Counsel  
U.S. Environmental Protection  
Agency, Region 1  
Suite 1100, Mail Code SEL  
One Congress Street  
Boston, MA 02114-2023

26. Pursuant to Section 16 of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2615, and 31 U.S.C. § 3717, EPA is entitled to assess interest and penalties on debts owed to the United States and a charge to cover

the cost of processing and handling a delinquent claim. Interest will therefore begin to accrue on the civil penalty if it is not paid within thirty (30) calendar days of the entry of the CAFO.

Pursuant to Section 309(g)(9), 33 U.S.C. §1319(g)(9), a failure by Respondent to pay the penalty assessed by the CAFO in full by its due date shall subject the Respondent to a civil action to collect the assessed penalty, plus interest at current prevailing rates from the date of the final order. The rate of interest assessed shall be at the rate set forth in 31 C.F.R. § 901.9(d), promulgated under 31 U.S.C. §3717. Any person who fails to pay on a timely basis the amount of an assessed penalty shall be required to pay in addition to such amount and interest, attorney's fees, costs for collection proceedings, and a quarterly nonpayment penalty for each quarter during which such failure to pay persists. Such nonpayment penalty shall be in an amount equal to twenty percent of the aggregate amount of such person's penalties and nonpayment penalties that are unpaid as of the beginning of such quarter. In any such collection action, the validity, amount, and appropriateness of the penalty shall not be subject to review.

27. The civil penalty under this CAFO, and any interest, nonpayment penalties and charges described in this CAFO, shall represent penalties assessed by EPA and shall not be deductible for purposes of federal taxes.

28. This CAFO constitutes a settlement by EPA of all claims for civil penalties pursuant to Section 16(a) of TSCA for the violations alleged in this CAFO only as to the PCB-contaminated soils in the utility areas and the locations of the proposed pile caps and grade beams identified in paragraphs 18 through 20, above. EPA expressly reserves all its rights to address violations of Section 16(a) of TSCA relating to PCB-contaminated areas of the site other than the

utility areas identified in paragraphs 18 through 20, above. Moreover, nothing in this CAFO shall be construed to limit in any way EPA's or the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection's authority to address pollutants or contaminants of any kind other than PCBs that may exist at the Site. Compliance with this CAFO shall not be a defense to any other actions subsequently commenced pursuant to federal laws and regulations administered by EPA for matters not addressed in this CAFO, and it is the responsibility of Respondent to comply with all applicable provisions of federal, state or local law. EPA reserves all its other criminal and civil enforcement authorities, including the authority to seek injunctive relief and the authority to address imminent hazards.

29. The parties shall bear their own costs and fees in this action.

30. The undersigned representative of the Respondent certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Agreement and to execute and legally bind Respondent to it.

For Respondent:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
Title

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

For Complainant:

---

Joel Blumstein  
Acting Manager, Enforcement Unit  
Office of Environmental Stewardship  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 1

---

Date

**C. FINAL ORDER**

The foregoing Consent Agreement is hereby approved and incorporated by reference into this Order. The Respondent is ordered to comply with the terms of the above Consent Agreement, effective immediately.

---

Sharon Wells  
Regional Judicial Officer  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 1

---

Date





{In Archive} Re: CAFO

Greg Dain to: Jane Medeiros Friedman

04/22/2004 06:03 PM

Archive: This message is being viewed in an archive.

Hi Jane,

I'll try to let you know tomorrow if this language is o.k.

Jane Medeiros Friedman <Jane.Medeiros.Friedman@ci.new-bedford.ma.us>



Jane Medeiros  
Friedman  
<Jane.Medeiros.Friedman@ci.new-bedford.ma.us>

To: Greg Dain/R1/USEPA/US@EPA  
cc:  
Subject: CAFO

04/22/2004 05:06 PM

Greg:

Regarding paragraph 9, since the sampling at the site pre-dated 2004, I asked Alan Hanscom to provide more information on the sampling history at the site. I'm not sure if this provides too much detail, but I'm submitting it for your review:

9. On or about April 12, 2000, Respondent initiated geotechnical investigations at the Site and "suspect" soil conditions were identified. Based upon initial chemical analyses, several reportable concentrations (120-day, as defined in the Massachusetts Contingency Plan) were detected and supplemental environmental sampling was performed. PCBs were first detected in a subsurface soil sample collected for geotechnical evaluation at 18 parts per million (ppm). On or about August 18, 2000, PCBs were detected in one sediment sample (also at 18 ppm), triggering a 2-hour MADEP notification requirement under the MCP. Since that time, significant sampling has been performed for a variety of contaminants in site soil, soil gas, groundwater, surface water and wetland sediment. On or about December 20, 2001, the MADEP granted the Site "Special Project Designation Status", pursuant to the provisions of the MCP.

In preparation for the initial site remediation contract for the new Keith Middle School, Respondent conducted additional sampling of soil, fill and organic silt at the Site on or about February 23, 2004. On or about March 9, 2004, Respondent obtained laboratory analytical results of the soil sampling, indicating that PCB's were present at concentrations ranging from non-detect to greater than 50 (ppm). One of the thirty-nine samples exceeded 50 ppm (61.4 ppm). Prior to March 9, 2004, the highest concentration of PCBs detected in any media at the Site was 18 ppm.

Regarding paragraph 19, I would like to include a reference to the public meeting which occurred on or about October 30, 2000. During that meeting the public was advised that McCoy Field was the intended site for the new Keith Middle School and that the design for the school would also address environmental issues at the site.

Thanks.

Jane Medeiros Friedman

Jane Medeiros Friedman, Esq.  
Associate Solicitor ~ City of New Bedford  
133 William Street  
New Bedford, MA 02740  
Tel: 508-979-1460  
Fax: 508-979-1515

Notice of Confidentiality:

This transmission contains information from the City of New Bedford Law Department that may be confidential and that may also be privileged. Unless you are the intended recipient of the message (or authorized to receive it for the intended recipient), you may not copy, forward, or otherwise use it, or disclose its contents to anyone else. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify us immediately and delete it from your system.



{In Archive} CAFO

Jane Medeiros Friedman to: Greg Dain

04/22/2004 05:09 PM

Archive: This message is being viewed in an archive.

Greg:

Regarding paragraph 9, since the sampling at the site pre-dated 2004, I asked Alan Hanscom to provide more information on the sampling history at the site. I'm not sure if this provides too much detail, but I'm submitting it for your review:

9. On or about April 12, 2000, Respondent initiated geotechnical investigations at the Site and "suspect" soil conditions were identified. Based upon initial chemical analyses, several reportable concentrations (120-day, as defined in the Massachusetts Contingency Plan) were detected and supplemental environmental sampling was performed. PCBs were first detected in a subsurface soil sample collected for geotechnical evaluation at 18 parts per million (ppm). On or about August 18, 2000, PCBs were detected in one sediment sample (also at 18 ppm), triggering a 2-hour MADEP notification requirement under the MCP. Since that time, significant sampling has been performed for a variety of contaminants in site soil, soil gas, groundwater, surface water and wetland sediment. On or about December 20, 2001, the MADEP granted the Site "Special Project Designation Status", pursuant to the provisions of the MCP.

In preparation for the initial site remediation contract for the new Keith Middle School, Respondent conducted additional sampling of soil, fill and organic silt at the Site on or about February 23, 2004. On or about March 9, 2004, Respondent obtained laboratory analytical results of the soil sampling, indicating that PCB's were present at concentrations ranging from non-detect to greater than 50 (ppm). One of the thirty-nine samples exceeded 50 ppm (61.4 ppm). Prior to March 9, 2004, the highest concentration of PCBs detected in any media at the Site was 18 ppm.

Regarding paragraph 19, I would like to include a reference to the public meeting which occurred on or about October 30, 2000. During that meeting the public was advised that McCoy Field was the intended site for the new Keith Middle School and that the design for the school would also address environmental issues at the site.

Thanks.

Jane Medeiros

Friedman

Jane Medeiros Friedman, Esq.  
Associate Solicitor ~ City of New Bedford  
133 William Street  
New Bedford, MA 02740  
Tel: 508-979-1460  
Fax: 508-979-1515

Notice of Confidentiality:

This transmission contains information from the City of New Bedford Law Department that may be confidential and that may also be privileged. Unless you are the intended recipient of the message (or authorized to receive it for the intended recipient), you may not copy, forward, or otherwise use it, or disclose its contents to anyone else. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify us immediately and delete it from your system.



{In Archive} RE: Draft CAFO

Greg Dain to: Jane Medeiros Friedman

04/20/2004 03:55 PM

Archive: This message is being viewed in an archive.

FYI. See the message below.

----- Forwarded by Greg Dain/R1/USEPA/US on 04/20/2004 03:55 PM -----



Kimberly Tisa

04/20/2004 03:52 PM

To: Greg Dain/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

cc: Deborah Brown/R1/USEPA/US@EPA, Marianne  
Milette/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

Subject: RE: Draft CAFO

Just received a call from the consultant. He's coming in tomorrow at 2:00pm to meet with me on the draft Work Plan. As such, I don't see getting a final Work Plan until the end of this week or into next week at best.

Kimberly Tisa, PCB Coordinator (CPT)  
USEPA  
1 Congress Street, Suite 1100  
Boston, MA 02114-2023

617.918.1527 (PHONE)  
617.918.0527 (FAX)  
e-mail: tisa.kimberly@epa.gov

Greg Dain

Greg Dain

04/20/2004 01:43 PM

To: Kimberly Tisa/R1/USEPA/US@EPA, Marianne

Milette/R1/USEPA/US@EPA, Deborah Brown/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

cc:

Subject: RE: Draft CAFO

----- Forwarded by Greg Dain/R1/USEPA/US on 04/20/2004 01:43 PM -----



Jane Medeiros  
Friedman

<Jane.Medeiros.Friedman@ci.new-bedford.ma.us>

04/20/2004 01:09 PM

To: Greg Dain/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

cc:

Subject: RE: Draft CAFO

Greg:

I spoke with Alan Hanscom on Friday. He was trying to finish the draft Work Plan to submit on Friday or at least by today. I have forwarded a copy of the Consent Agreement for internal review and will get back to you soon.

Jane Medeiros Friedman

-----Original Message-----

From: dain.greg@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:dain.greg@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, April 20, 2004 9:20 AM  
To: Jane Medeiros Friedman  
Cc: milette.marianne@epamail.epa.gov; tisa.kimberly@epamail.epa.gov;  
Brown.Deborah@epamail.epa.gov  
Subject: Re: Draft CAFO

Jane,

Attached is a draft dated 4/20/04. One of my managers asked for a minor language change to paragraph 19. Everything else is identical to the last draft I sent you last week.

As far as I know, we are still waiting for the Work Plan. Do you know its status as of today?

Greg

(See attached file: New\_Bedford\_Draft\_CAFO\_04.20.04\_9.15am.wpd)

{In Archive} RE: Draft CAFO

Greg Dain to: Jane Medeiros Friedman  
Cc: Marianne Milette, Kimberly Tisa, Deborah Brown

04/20/2004 01:48 PM

Archive: This message is being viewed in an archive.

Jane,

FYI. See the message below from our technical person re: review of the Work Plan.

----- Forwarded by Greg Dain/R1/USEPA/US on 04/20/2004 01:47 PM -----



Kimberly Tisa

04/20/2004 01:46 PM

To: Greg Dain/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

cc: Deborah Brown/R1/USEPA/US@EPA, Marianne  
Milette/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

Subject: RE: Draft CAFO

Thanks, Greg. Just for your information, the afternoon mail has come and gone and I haven't yet seen a Work Plan. For planning purposes, I am in the office tomorrow, in New Bedford on Thursday re: the Rail Depot Site, and out of the office on Friday. If I don't get this thing by tomorrow, I won't be able to get to it until Monday 5/26.

Kimberly Tisa, PCB Coordinator (CPT)  
USEPA  
1 Congress Street, Suite 1100  
Boston, MA 02114-2023

617.918.1527 (PHONE)  
617.918.0527 (FAX)  
e-mail: tisa.kimberly@epa.gov

Greg Dain

Greg Dain

04/20/2004 01:43 PM

To: Kimberly Tisa/R1/USEPA/US@EPA, Marianne

Milette/R1/USEPA/US@EPA, Deborah Brown/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

cc:

Subject: RE: Draft CAFO

----- Forwarded by Greg Dain/R1/USEPA/US on 04/20/2004 01:43 PM -----



Jane Medeiros  
Friedman

<Jane.Medeiros.Friedman@ci.new-bedford.ma.us>

04/20/2004 01:09 PM

To: Greg Dain/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

cc:

Subject: RE: Draft CAFO

Greg:

I spoke with Alan Hanscom on Friday. He was trying to finish the draft Work Plan to submit on Friday or at least by today. I have forwarded a copy of the Consent Agreement for internal review and will get back to you soon.

Jane Medeiros Friedman

-----Original Message-----

From: dain.greg@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:dain.greg@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, April 20, 2004 9:20 AM  
To: Jane Medeiros Friedman  
Cc: milette.marianne@epamail.epa.gov; tisa.kimberly@epamail.epa.gov;  
Brown.Deborah@epamail.epa.gov  
Subject: Re: Draft CAFO

Jane,

Attached is a draft dated 4/20/04. One of my managers asked for a minor language change to paragraph 19. Everything else is identical to the last draft I sent you last week.

As far as I know, we are still waiting for the Work Plan. Do you know its status as of today?

Greg

(See attached file: New\_Bedford\_Draft\_CAFO\_04.20.04\_9.15am.wpd)



{In Archive} RE: Draft CAFO  
Jane Medeiros Friedman to: Greg Dain

04/20/2004 01:11 PM

Archive: This message is being viewed in an archive.

Greg:

I spoke with Alan Hanscom on Friday. He was trying to finish the draft Work Plan to submit on Friday or at least by today. I have forwarded a copy of the Consent Agreement for internal review and will get back to you soon.

Jane Medeiros

Friedman

-----Original Message-----

From: dain.greg@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:dain.greg@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, April 20, 2004 9:20 AM  
To: Jane Medeiros Friedman  
Cc: milette.marianne@epamail.epa.gov; tisa.kimberly@epamail.epa.gov; Brown.Deborah@epamail.epa.gov  
Subject: Re: Draft CAFO

Jane,

Attached is a draft dated 4/20/04. One of my managers asked for a minor language change to paragraph 19. Everything else is identical to the last draft I sent you last week.


As far as I know, we are still waiting for the Work Plan. Do you know its status as of today?

Greg

(See attached file:  
New\_Bedford\_Draft\_CAFO\_04.20.04\_9.15am.wpd)





{In Archive} Re: Draft CAFO 

Greg Dain to: Jane Medeiros Friedman

04/20/2004 09:19 AM

Cc: Marianne Milette, Kimberly Tisa, Deborah Brown

---

Archive: This message is being viewed in an archive.

Jane,

Attached is a draft dated 4/20/04. One of my mangers asked for a minor langugage change to paragraph 19. Everything else is identicial to the last draft I sent you last week.

As far as I know, we are still waiting for the Work Plan. Do you know its status as of today?

Greg



New\_Bedford\_Draft\_CAFO\_04.20.04\_9.15am.wpd



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

In the Matter of:

City of New Bedford, Massachusetts

Respondent

EPA DRAFT: 4/20/04

Docket No. TSCA-1-2004-0052

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER

The Complainant, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region I ("EPA"), alleges that the City of New Bedford, Massachusetts (the "City" or "Respondent") violated sections of the Toxic Substances Control Act ("TSCA"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 2601 *et seq.*, and the Act's implementing regulations, "Polychlorinated Biphenyls ("PCBs") Manufacturing, Processing, Distribution in Commerce and Use Prohibitions," 40 C.F.R. Part 761. EPA and Respondent agree to settlement of this matter through this Consent Agreement and Final Order ("CAFO") without the filing of an administrative complaint, as authorized under 40 CFR § 22.13(b).

The EPA and Respondent agree that settlement of this matter is in the public interest and that entry of this CAFO without litigation is the most appropriate means of resolving this matter.

Therefore, without taking any testimony, upon the pleadings, without adjudication of any issue of fact or law, and upon consent and agreement of the parties, it is hereby ordered and adjudged as follows:

A. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. This is an administrative action for the assessment of monetary penalties and other

relief pursuant to Section 16(a) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2615(a), for alleged violations of Section 15 of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. §2614. Section 15(1)(C) states that it shall be unlawful for any person to fail to comply with any rule promulgated under Section 6 of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. §2605.

2. The PCB regulations were promulgated pursuant to Section 6(e) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2605(e).

3. The PCB regulations “establish prohibitions of, and requirements for, the manufacturing, processing, distribution in commerce, use, disposal, storage, and marking of PCBs and PCB Items.” 40 C.F.R. § 761.1(a).

4. Respondent is a "person" as defined by 40 C.F.R. § 761.3 and as such is subject to TSCA and the regulations promulgated thereunder.

5. Respondent is a political subdivision of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

6. Respondent owns and controls real property, which is the subject of this action, and is located in the City of New Bedford, Massachusetts (the "Site"). The property is commonly referred to as McCoy Field, and is located on Hathaway Boulevard. The City of New Bedford acquired the McCoy Field site through a Treasurer's Deed to the City, recorded in the Bristol County Registry of Deeds (S.D.) at Book 849, Page 329, which includes Plat 69, Lots 125-132 and 135-142; and through a Treasurer's Deed to the City, recorded in the Bristol County Registry of Deeds (S.D.) at Book 885, Page 401, which includes Plat 75, Lots 167-174, 177-184, 209-228, 292-342. (Deeds Attached as Attachment 2).



7. In the late 1960s, material that consisted of, among other things, ash, construction and demolition debris, glass and brick, was excavated from a City of New Bedford "burn dump" area located across the street from the Site. At least some portion of this excavated material contained PCBs. The excavated material was stockpiled by Respondent at the Site.

8. In or about 1994, the stockpiled material referenced in paragraph 7, above, was spread out and graded at the Site by Respondent for the purpose of constructing athletic fields. The Site is not currently in use and has now been closed by the Respondent.

9. Beginning on or about February 23, 2004, Respondent conducted analytical sampling of soil, fill and organic silt at the Site. The sampling was conducted by Respondent in preparation for planned excavations required for the installation of underground utilities associated with a public school building Respondent plans to construct at the Site. On or about March 9, 2004, Respondent obtained analytical results of the sampling, indicating PCBs were present in soil samples collected along the proposed utility corridor at concentrations ranging from non-detect to 61.4 parts per million (ppm).

10. EPA learned of the facts set forth in paragraphs 7 through 9, above, in March of 2004.

**B. ALLEGATIONS**

11. EPA alleges that, as a result of the activities referenced in paragraphs 7 through 9, above, Respondent violated Section 6(e) of TSCA and the PCB regulations.

12. EPA alleges that in or about 1994, Respondent diluted PCB Remediation Waste (as defined at 40 C.F.R. §761.3) in violation of 40 CFR §761.1(b)(5). Respondent alleges that its

dilution of PCB Remediation Waste was inadvertant.

13. EPA alleges that in or about 1994, Respondent improperly disposed of the PCB Remediation Waste referenced in paragraphs 7 through 9, above, and further alleges that Respondent has to date not cleaned up and disposed of the PCB Remediation Waste in accordance with the requirements found at 40 C.F.R. §761.61.

**C. TERMS OF SETTLEMENT**

14. Section 16(a) of TSCA, together with the Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Rule (40 C.F.R. Part 19), authorize the assessment of a civil administrative penalty of up to \$32,500 per day for each violation. Based on the violations alleged in paragraphs 11 and 13, above, and taking into account the penalty assessment criteria of Section 16(a) of TSCA, EPA has proposed to assess a civil penalty of \$27,500.

15. The provisions of this CAFO shall apply to and be binding on EPA and on Respondent, its officers, directors, successors and assigns.

16. Respondent stipulates that EPA has jurisdiction over the subject matter alleged in this CAFO. Respondent waives any defenses it might have as to jurisdiction and venue, and, without admitting or denying the factual allegations contained in this CAFO, consents to its terms.

17. Respondent hereby waives its right to contest any issue of law or fact set forth in the Consent Agreement and its right to appeal the Final Order accompanying this Consent Agreement.

PCB-IMPACTED WASTE REMOVAL IN UTILITY CORRIDORS  
AND AT PILE CAP AND GRADE BEAM LOCATIONS

18. Respondent shall conduct sampling and shall perform a removal of the PCB contamination located in the proposed utility corridors and in the vicinity of the proposed building pile caps and grade beams at the Site, in accordance with the Work Plan dated April 16, 2004 (the "Work Plan"). The Work Plan is included as Attachment 1 to this CAFO and is hereby incorporated by reference.

19. Respondent has initiated a series of public meetings and associated activities to address public concerns related to the upcoming construction activities at the Site. At a minimum, such public hearings have included or will include:

April 7, 2004: public meeting at Keith Junior High School Auditorium regarding construction activities to be performed under the first environmental contract, including removal off-site of PCB-contaminated materials. During this meeting, Respondent discussed in detail the process for disposing of PCB-contaminated material at a location outside of New Bedford. That discussion also addressed the public's concerns about the routes that will be taken by the disposal trucks, and associated dirt and dust control issues.

April 28, 2004: community meeting at Keith Junior High School Auditorium to present overall building program for new Keith Middle School at the Site.

May 5, 2004: first Public Involvement Plan ("PIP") meeting at Keith Junior High School Auditorium to present the PIP and solicit input from the public.

Subsequent public meetings will be scheduled by Respondent, based upon the results of the

PIP meetings.

20. On or before September 30, 2004, Respondent shall complete all PCB activities described in the Work Plan.

21. Within 30 days of the completion of PCB activities described in the Work Plan, Respondent shall submit a certification to EPA Region 1, at the address provided below, signed by a city official authorized to do so on behalf of the City of New Bedford, that the activities in the proposed utility corridors and the locations of the proposed pile caps and grade beams were completed in accordance with the provisions of this CAFO and the Work Plan. The certification shall include a short summary of the activities, copies of PCB waste shipment manifests, bills of lading, the total quantity of PCB-contaminated waste disposed of (in pounds) based on concentrations of less than 50ppm ( $< 50\text{ppm}$ ) and greater than or equal to 50ppm ( $\geq 50\text{ppm}$ ), and any certificates of disposal received as of the date of certification. All submissions required by this Order shall be sent to:

Kimberly Tisa, PCB Coordinator  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
One Congress Street, Suite 1100 (CPT)  
Boston, MA 02114-2023  
(617) 918- 1527  
FAX (617) 918- 0527

CLEANUP OF REMAINDER OF THE SITE

22. EPA and Respondent agree that the requirements for cleanup of any PCB contamination at the Site in areas other than the utility corridors and the locations of the proposed pile caps and grade beams (referenced in paragraphs 18 through 20 above) are not being addressed



in this CAFO. EPA expressly reserves all its rights to ensure in the future that Respondent undertakes all appropriate cleanup measures to address PCB contamination at the Site, in areas other than the utility corridors and the locations of the proposed pile caps and grade beams, in accordance with 40 CFR 761.61. Moreover, nothing in this CAFO shall be construed to limit in any way EPA's or the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection's authority to address at the Site pollutants or contaminants of any kind other than PCBs.

23. Pursuant to Section 16 of TSCA, and taking into account the facts recounted in this CAFO and such other circumstances as justice may require, EPA has determined that it is fair and proper to assess a civil penalty for the violations alleged in this CAFO in the amount of twenty-seven thousand, five hundred dollars (\$27,500).

24. Respondent shall pay the penalty of twenty-seven thousand, five hundred dollars (\$27,500) within thirty (30) days of the date this Consent Agreement and Final Order is signed by the EPA Regional Judicial Officer.

25. Respondent shall pay the penalty by submitting a certified or cashier's check to the order of the "Treasurer, United States of America" and in the required amount to:

EPA - Region 1  
P.O. Box 360197M  
Pittsburgh, PA 15251

Respondent shall note the case name and docket number of this action on the check, and shall provide copies of the check to:



Regional Hearing Clerk  
U.S. Environmental Protection  
Agency, Region 1  
Suite 1100, Mail Code RCH  
One Congress Street  
Boston, MA 02114-2023

and

Gregory Dain  
Senior Enforcement Counsel  
U.S. Environmental Protection  
Agency, Region 1  
Suite 1100, Mail Code SEL  
One Congress Street  
Boston, MA 02114-2023

26. Pursuant to Section 16 of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2615, and 31 U.S.C. § 3717, EPA is entitled to assess interest and penalties on debts owed to the United States and a charge to cover the cost of processing and handling a delinquent claim. Interest will therefore begin to accrue on the civil penalty if it is not paid within thirty (30) calendar days of the entry of the CAFO. Pursuant to Section 309(g)(9), 33 U.S.C. §1319(g)(9), a failure by Respondent to pay the penalty assessed by the CAFO in full by its due date shall subject the Respondent to a civil action to collect the assessed penalty, plus interest at current prevailing rates from the date of the final order. The rate of interest assessed shall be at the rate set forth in 31 C.F.R. § 901.9(d), promulgated under 31 U.S.C. §3717. Any person who fails to pay on a timely basis the amount of an assessed penalty shall be required to pay in addition to such amount and interest, attorney's fees, costs for collection proceedings, and a quarterly nonpayment penalty for each quarter during

which such failure to pay persists. Such nonpayment penalty shall be in an amount equal to twenty percent of the aggregate amount of such person's penalties and nonpayment penalties that are unpaid as of the beginning of such quarter. In any such collection action, the validity, amount, and appropriateness of the penalty shall not be subject to review.

27. The civil penalty under this CAFO, and any interest, nonpayment penalties and charges described in this CAFO, shall represent penalties assessed by EPA and shall not be deductible for purposes of federal taxes.

28. This CAFO constitutes a settlement by EPA of all claims for civil penalties pursuant to Section 16(a) of TSCA for the violations alleged in this CAFO only as to the PCB-contaminated soils in the utility areas and the locations of the proposed pile caps and grade beams identified in paragraphs 18 through 20, above. EPA expressly reserves all its rights to address violations of Section 16(a) of TSCA relating to PCB-contaminated areas of the site other than the utility areas identified in paragraphs 18 through 20, above. Moreover, nothing in this CAFO shall be construed to limit in any way EPA's or the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection's authority to address pollutants or contaminants of any kind other than PCBs that may exist at the Site. Compliance with this CAFO shall not be a defense to any other actions subsequently commenced pursuant to federal laws and regulations administered by EPA for matters not addressed in this CAFO, and it is the responsibility of Respondent to comply with all applicable provisions of federal, state or local law. EPA reserves all its other criminal and civil enforcement authorities, including the authority to seek injunctive relief and the authority to address imminent hazards.

29. The parties shall bear their own costs and fees in this action.

30. The undersigned representative of the Respondent certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Agreement and to execute and legally bind Respondent to it.

For Respondent:

_____	_____
Name	Title

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

For Complainant:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joel Blumstein  
Acting Manager, Enforcement Unit  
Office of Environmental Stewardship  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 1

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

C. FINAL ORDER

The foregoing Consent Agreement is hereby approved and incorporated by reference into this Order. The Respondent is ordered to comply with the terms of the above Consent Agreement, effective immediately.

---

Sharon Wells  
Regional Judicial Officer  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 1

---

Date



**{In Archive} New Draft CAFO** 

**Greg Dain** to: Jane Medeiros Friedman

04/16/2004 09:29 AM

Cc: Marianne Milette, Kimberly Tisa, Deborah Brown, Catherine Smith

---

Archive: This message is being viewed in an archive.

Jane,

Hopefully this draft sufficiently addresses the concerns you had. Please call me if you have any questions. We look forward to receiving the Work Plan as soon as possible so it can be reviewed by EPA's technical folks. It is my hope that finalization of the Work Plan will not delay signing the CAFO, but, as I mentioned earlier, EPA must review and approve the Work Plan before the CAFO can be executed.

Thanks. Greg



New\_Bedford\_Draft\_CAFO\_04.16.04\_9.30am.wpd





UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

In the Matter of:

City of New Bedford, Massachusetts

Respondent

**EPA DRAFT: 4/16/04**

Docket No. TSCA-1-2004-0052

**CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER**

The Complainant, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region I ("EPA"), alleges that the City of New Bedford, Massachusetts (the "City" or "Respondent") violated sections of the Toxic Substances Control Act ("TSCA"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 2601 *et seq.*, and the Act's implementing regulations, "Polychlorinated Biphenyls ("PCBs") Manufacturing, Processing, Distribution in Commerce and Use Prohibitions," 40 C.F.R. Part 761. EPA and Respondent agree to settlement of this matter through this Consent Agreement and Final Order ("CAFO") without the filing of an administrative complaint, as authorized under 40 CFR § 22.13(b).

The EPA and Respondent agree that settlement of this matter is in the public interest and that entry of this CAFO without litigation is the most appropriate means of resolving this matter.

Therefore, without taking any testimony, upon the pleadings, without adjudication of any issue of fact or law, and upon consent and agreement of the parties, it is hereby ordered and adjudged as follows:

**A. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

1. This is an administrative action for the assessment of monetary penalties and other

relief pursuant to Section 16(a) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2615(a), for alleged violations of Section 15 of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. §2614. Section 15(1)(C) states that it shall be unlawful for any person to fail to comply with any rule promulgated under Section 6 of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. §2605.

2. The PCB regulations were promulgated pursuant to Section 6(e) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2605(e).

3. The PCB regulations “establish prohibitions of, and requirements for, the manufacturing, processing, distribution in commerce, use, disposal, storage, and marking of PCBs and PCB Items.” 40 C.F.R. § 761.1(a).

4. Respondent is a "person" as defined by 40 C.F.R. § 761.3 and as such is subject to TSCA and the regulations promulgated thereunder.

5. Respondent is a political subdivision of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

6. Respondent owns and controls real property, which is the subject of this action, and is located in the City of New Bedford, Massachusetts (the "Site"). The property is commonly referred to as McCoy Field, and is located on Hathaway Boulevard. The City of New Bedford acquired the McCoy Field site through a Treasurer's Deed to the City, recorded in the Bristol County Registry of Deeds (S.D.) at Book 849, Page 329, which includes Plat 69, Lots 125-132 and 135-142; and through a Treasurer's Deed to the City, recorded in the Bristol County Registry of Deeds (S.D.) at Book 885, Page 401, which includes Plat 75, Lots 167-174, 177-184, 209-228, 292-342. (Deeds Attached as Attachment 2).

7. In the late 1960s, material that consisted of, among other things, ash, construction and demolition debris, glass and brick, was excavated from a City of New Bedford "burn dump" area located across the street from the Site. At least some portion of this excavated material contained PCBs. The excavated material was stockpiled by Respondent at the Site.

8. In or about 1994, the stockpiled material referenced in paragraph 7, above, was spread out and graded at the Site by Respondent for the purpose of constructing athletic fields. The Site is not currently in use and has now been closed by the Respondent.

9. Beginning on or about February 23, 2004, Respondent conducted analytical sampling of soil, fill and organic silt at the Site. The sampling was conducted by Respondent in preparation for planned excavations required for the installation of underground utilities associated with a public school building Respondent plans to construct at the Site. On or about March 9, 2004, Respondent obtained analytical results of the sampling, indicating PCBs were present in soil samples collected along the proposed utility corridor at concentrations ranging from non-detect to 61.4 parts per million (ppm).

10. EPA learned of the facts set forth in paragraphs 7 through 9, above, in March of 2004.

**B. ALLEGATIONS**

11. EPA alleges that, as a result of the activities referenced in paragraphs 7 through 9, above, Respondent violated Section 6(e) of TSCA and the PCB regulations.

12. EPA alleges that in or about 1994, Respondent diluted PCB Remediation Waste (as defined at 40 C.F.R. §761.3) in violation of 40 CFR §761.1(b)(5). Respondent alleges that its

dilution of PCB Remediation Waste was inadvertant.

13. EPA alleges that in or about 1994, Respondent improperly disposed of the PCB Remediation Waste referenced in paragraphs 7 through 9, above, and further alleges that Respondent has to date not cleaned up and disposed of the PCB Remediation Waste in accordance with the requirements found at 40 C.F.R. §761.61.

**C. TERMS OF SETTLEMENT**

14. Section 16(a) of TSCA, together with the Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Rule (40 C.F.R. Part 19), authorize the assessment of a civil administrative penalty of up to \$32,500 per day for each violation. Based on the violations alleged in paragraphs 11 and 13, above, and taking into account the penalty assessment criteria of Section 16(a) of TSCA, EPA has proposed to assess a civil penalty of \$27,500.

15. The provisions of this CAFO shall apply to and be binding on EPA and on Respondent, its officers, directors, successors and assigns.

16. Respondent stipulates that EPA has jurisdiction over the subject matter alleged in this CAFO. Respondent waives any defenses it might have as to jurisdiction and venue, and, without admitting or denying the factual allegations contained in this CAFO, consents to its terms.

17. Respondent hereby waives its right to contest any issue of law or fact set forth in the Consent Agreement and its right to appeal the Final Order accompanying this Consent Agreement.



PCB-IMPACTED WASTE REMOVAL IN UTILITY CORRIDORS  
AND AT PILE CAP AND GRADE BEAM LOCATIONS

18. Respondent shall conduct sampling and shall perform a removal of the PCB contamination located in the proposed utility corridors and in the vicinity of the proposed building pile caps and grade beams at the Site, in accordance with the Work Plan dated April 16, 2004 (the "Work Plan"). The Work Plan is included as Attachment 1 to this CAFO and is hereby incorporated by reference.

19. Respondent has initiated a series of public meetings and associated activities to address public concerns related to the upcoming construction activities at the Site. At a minimum, such public hearings have included or will include:

April 7, 2004: public meeting at Keith Junior High School Auditorium regarding construction activities to be performed under the first environmental contract, including removal off-site of PCB-contaminated materials. During this meeting, Respondent discussed in detail the process for disposing of PCB-contaminated material at a location outside of New Bedford. That discussion also addressed the public's concerns about the routes that will be taken by the disposal trucks, and associated dirt and dust control issues.

April 28, 2004: community meeting at Keith Junior High School Auditorium to present overall building program for new Keith Middle School at the Site.

May 5, 2004: first Public Involvement Plan ("PIP") meeting at Keith Junior High School Auditorium to present the PIP and solicit input from the public.

Subsequent public meetings will be scheduled by Respondent, based upon the results of the



first PIP meeting.

20. On or before September 30, 2004, Respondent shall complete all PCB activities described in the Work Plan.

21. Within 30 days of the completion of PCB activities described in the Work Plan, Respondent shall submit a certification to EPA Region 1, at the address provided below, signed by a city official authorized to do so on behalf of the City of New Bedford, that the activities in the proposed utility corridors and the locations of the proposed pile caps and grade beams were completed in accordance with the provisions of this CAFO and the Work Plan. The certification shall include a short summary of the activities, copies of PCB waste shipment manifests, bills of lading, the total quantity of PCB-contaminated waste disposed of (in pounds) based on concentrations of less than 50ppm ( $< 50\text{ppm}$ ) and greater than or equal to 50ppm ( $\geq 50\text{ppm}$ ), and any certificates of disposal received as of the date of certification. All submissions required by this Order shall be sent to:

Kimberly Tisa, PCB Coordinator  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
One Congress Street, Suite 1100 (CPT)  
Boston, MA 02114-2023  
(617) 918- 1527  
FAX (617) 918- 0527

#### CLEANUP OF REMAINDER OF THE SITE

22. EPA and Respondent agree that the requirements for cleanup of any PCB contamination at the Site in areas other than the utility corridors and the locations of the proposed pile caps and grade beams (referenced in paragraphs 18 through 20 above) are not being addressed

in this CAFO. EPA expressly reserves all its rights to ensure in the future that Respondent undertakes all appropriate cleanup measures to address PCB contamination at the Site, in areas other than the utility corridors and the locations of the proposed pile caps and grade beams, in accordance with 40 CFR 761.61. Moreover, nothing in this CAFO shall be construed to limit in any way EPA's or the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection's authority to address at the Site pollutants or contaminants of any kind other than PCBs.

23. Pursuant to Section 16 of TSCA, and taking into account the facts recounted in this CAFO and such other circumstances as justice may require, EPA has determined that it is fair and proper to assess a civil penalty for the violations alleged in this CAFO in the amount of twenty-seven thousand, five hundred dollars (\$27,500).

24. Respondent shall pay the penalty of twenty-seven thousand, five hundred dollars (\$27,500) within thirty (30) days of the date this Consent Agreement and Final Order is signed by the EPA Regional Judicial Officer.

25. Respondent shall pay the penalty by submitting a certified or cashier's check to the order of the "Treasurer, United States of America" and in the required amount to:

EPA - Region 1  
P.O. Box 360197M  
Pittsburgh, PA 15251

Respondent shall note the case name and docket number of this action on the check, and shall provide copies of the check to:

Regional Hearing Clerk  
U.S. Environmental Protection  
Agency, Region 1  
Suite 1100, Mail Code RCH  
One Congress Street  
Boston, MA 02114-2023

and

Gregory Dain  
Senior Enforcement Counsel  
U.S. Environmental Protection  
Agency, Region 1  
Suite 1100, Mail Code SEL  
One Congress Street  
Boston, MA 02114-2023

26. Pursuant to Section 16 of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2615, and 31 U.S.C. § 3717, EPA is entitled to assess interest and penalties on debts owed to the United States and a charge to cover the cost of processing and handling a delinquent claim. Interest will therefore begin to accrue on the civil penalty if it is not paid within thirty (30) calendar days of the entry of the CAFO. Pursuant to Section 309(g)(9), 33 U.S.C. §1319(g)(9), a failure by Respondent to pay the penalty assessed by the CAFO in full by its due date shall subject the Respondent to a civil action to collect the assessed penalty, plus interest at current prevailing rates from the date of the final order. The rate of interest assessed shall be at the rate set forth in 31 C.F.R. § 901.9(d), promulgated under 31 U.S.C. §3717. Any person who fails to pay on a timely basis the amount of an assessed penalty shall be required to pay in addition to such amount and interest, attorney's fees, costs for collection proceedings, and a quarterly nonpayment penalty for each quarter during

which such failure to pay persists. Such nonpayment penalty shall be in an amount equal to twenty percent of the aggregate amount of such person's penalties and nonpayment penalties that are unpaid as of the beginning of such quarter. In any such collection action, the validity, amount, and appropriateness of the penalty shall not be subject to review.

27. The civil penalty under this CAFO, and any interest, nonpayment penalties and charges described in this CAFO, shall represent penalties assessed by EPA and shall not be deductible for purposes of federal taxes.

28. This CAFO constitutes a settlement by EPA of all claims for civil penalties pursuant to Section 16(a) of TSCA for the violations alleged in this CAFO only as to the PCB-contaminated soils in the utility areas and the locations of the proposed pile caps and grade beams identified in paragraphs 18 through 20, above. EPA expressly reserves all its rights to address violations of Section 16(a) of TSCA relating to PCB-contaminated areas of the site other than the utility areas identified in paragraphs 18 through 20, above. Moreover, nothing in this CAFO shall be construed to limit in any way EPA's or the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection's authority to address pollutants or contaminants of any kind other than PCBs that may exist at the Site. Compliance with this CAFO shall not be a defense to any other actions subsequently commenced pursuant to federal laws and regulations administered by EPA for matters not addressed in this CAFO, and it is the responsibility of Respondent to comply with all applicable provisions of federal, state or local law. EPA reserves all its other criminal and civil enforcement authorities, including the authority to seek injunctive relief and the authority to address imminent hazards.

29. The parties shall bear their own costs and fees in this action.

30. The undersigned representative of the Respondent certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Agreement and to execute and legally bind Respondent to it.

For Respondent:

\_\_\_\_\_

Name

\_\_\_\_\_

Title

\_\_\_\_\_

Date

For Complainant:

\_\_\_\_\_

Joel Blumstein  
Acting Manager, Enforcement Unit  
Office of Environmental Stewardship  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 1

\_\_\_\_\_

Date



C. FINAL ORDER

The foregoing Consent Agreement is hereby approved and incorporated by reference into this Order. The Respondent is ordered to comply with the terms of the above Consent Agreement, effective immediately.

---

Sharon Wells  
Regional Judicial Officer  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 1

---

Date





{In Archive} Re: FW: BETA Comments on Draft CAFO 

Greg Dain to: Jane Medeiros Friedman

04/13/2004 05:08 PM

Archive: This message is being viewed in an archive.

Thanks, Jane. Remember, there are a couple of things you were going to fill in, also. Like the public participation details.

Jane Medeiros Friedman <Jane.Medeiros.Friedman@ci.new-bedford.ma.us>



Jane Medeiros  
Friedman  
<Jane.Medeiros.Friedman@ci.new-bedford.ma.us>

04/13/2004 05:00 PM

To: Greg Dain/R1/USEPA/US@EPA  
cc:  
Subject: FW: BETA Comments on Draft CAFO

Greg:

Here are the comments we were waiting for from Alan Hanscom. I'll be out of the office tomorrow but will be in on Thursday if you wish to discuss. Thanks.

Jane Medeiros Friedman

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Alan Hanscom [mailto:AHanscom@BETA-Inc.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, April 13, 2004 4:07 PM

**To:** Jane Medeiros Friedman; Matthew Thomas

**Cc:** Scott Alfonse; Lawrence W. Oliveira; Jacqueline Coucci; Evan Warner (ewarner@mvgarchitects.com); Jackie Huggins

**Subject:** BETA Comments on Draft CAFO

Here are our comments. Note that we are also preparing Attachment 1 to the Consent Agreement and Final Order (CAFO), the "Work Plan" to be implemented at the site to address the PCB-impacted wastes to be excavated under the first environmental contract. We will have a Draft Work Plan ready for your review tomorrow.

You will note that we have proposed to expand the scope of the CAFO to include off-site management of the PCB-impacted wastes in the vicinity of the pile caps and grade beams (essentially the building footprint, plus 6 feet around the perimeter of the building). That will enable us to further prepare the site, so that pile driving can be expedited under Contract #2 by 3-4 weeks.

Please call me with any questions regarding our proposed changes.

**Alan D. Hanscom, P.E., LSP** <?xml:namespace prefix = o ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office" />

**Associate**

**BETA Group, Inc.**

**315** <?xml:namespace prefix = st1 ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:smarttags" />**Norwood Park South**

**Norwood, Massachusetts 02062**

**Tel:** 781/255-1982

**Fax:** 781/255-1974

**Cell:** 617/699-1878



ConsentOrderComments.doc